Subject: Responses to Planning Committee Report 'Localism in London: What's the Story?'

| Report to: | Planning | Committee |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| | | |

Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat

Date: 16 June 2015

This report will be considered in public

1. Summary

1.1. This report updates Members on responses to the Committee's final report on the Localism Act 2011 in London: "Localism in London: What's the Story?"

1.2.

2. Recommendation

2.1. That the Committee notes the two responses to the final report on the review of progress in London since the introduction of the Localism Act in 2011 as set out in Appendices 1 and 2 of the report.

3. Background

- 3.1. The Committee's report 'Localism in London: What's the Story?' reviewed the implementation in London of a number of measures contained in the Localism Act in 2011. The report was formally agreed by this Committee on 22 January 2015. The full report can be found on the Committee's web page here: http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor-assembly/london-assembly/publications/localism-in-london-whats-the-story
- 3.2. Key findings of the report were:
 - that the legislation was designed primarily for smaller, more homogenous areas than can be found in London;
 - that London's complex network of mixed communities with diverse interests seems to make even defining neighbourhood areas a difficult and time consuming process; and
 - that the use of new rights for individuals and communities to take over community assets in the Localism Act appears to be more widespread across London.

- 3.3. The report sought views in response to the following questions:
 - Are the requirements for designating neighbourhoods, in terms of boundaries, membership and competing interests, simply unworkable in London?
 - How can we overcome the barriers to getting a forum recognised?
 - Do affluent communities with access to professional expertise to drive the formation of neighbourhood forums have an advantage over those with less capacity or history of community organisation?
 - To what extent are financial considerations and the budget pressures on local authorities slowing down the progress of neighbourhood planning in London?
 - Would greater promotion for neighbourhood planning in London's opportunity areas both further the aims of localism and regeneration and boost a sense of legitimacy and support in these areas?
 - Why are there so few listed assets in some boroughs?
 - Are boroughs interpreting the legislation consistently?
 - Given London's city wide communities, is the legislation supportive of recognising assets on the basis of communities of interest rather than communities of locality?

4. Issues for Consideration

Feedback

4.1. At its meeting on 18 March 2015 Members received a summary of the written responses to the report received from five individuals and organisations. Two further responses were not included at the time due to an administrative error. Those responses were from Locality and Adam Cook and are attached at **Appendices 1** and **2**.

5. Legal Implications

5.1. The Committee has the power to do what is recommended in this report.

6. Financial Implications

6.1. There are no financial implications to the GLA arising from this report.

List of appendices to this report:

Appendix 1- Response to Localism Report from Locality

Appendix 2 – Response to Localism Report from Adam Cook

| Local Governmer | nt (Access to Information) Act 1985 |
|--------------------|---|
| List of Background | 1 Papers: |
| Planning Cor | mmittee report, Localism in London: What's the Story? (November 2014) |
| Contact Officer: | Paul Watling, Scrutiny Manager |
| Telephone: | 020 7983 4393 |
| Email: | scrutiny@london.gov.uk |